

# 1 EC

## 1.1 Fermat's method of infinite descent

- Defn: rational triangles, primitive triangles
- **Prop: parametrisation for primitive triangles: every primitive triangle is of the form...**
- **Defn: congruent number**
- Lemma: equivalent condition of being a congruent number
- **Thm: 1 is not a congruent number**
- Lemma: infinite descent polynomial version
- Baby defn of elliptic curves
- Example: what is  $E(\mathbb{Q})$  where  $E : y^2 = x^3 - x$ ?
- Cor: if  $E/K$  is an EC, then  $E(K(t)) = E(K)$ . Why does this imply elliptic curves are not rational?

## 1.2 Remarks on Algebraic curves

- Def: Rational plane curve, rational parametrisation
- Prop: if  $K = \bar{K}$  then  $C$  is rational  $\iff g(C) = 0$ ,  $C$  is EC  $\iff g(C) = 1$  (Don't know proof)
- Def:  $\text{ord}_P(C)$
- Fact:  $\text{ord}_P : K(C)^* \rightarrow \mathbb{Z}$  is a discrete valuation
- Def: Uniformizer of  $\text{ord}_P(t)$
- Example: consider the curve  $\{y^2 = x(x-1)(x-\lambda)\}$ . Consider homogenizing it, and compute  $\text{ord}_P$  at each point.

### Concepts related to divisors

- Defn: divisor, degree of a divisor, effective divisor, principle divisor
- Defn:  $\text{div}(f)$  where  $f \in K(C)^*$ .
- **Defn: Riemann Roch space**
- **Thm: Riemann- Roch thm**, no proof

### Differentforms of curves

- **Prop: Change curves to legendre form. Don't know its proof.**
- Defn: weierstrass equation and legendre form
- Defn: degree of a morphism, separable morphism
- Thm: formula relating  $e_\phi(P)$  to  $\deg(\phi)$ . Three properties of nonconstant morphism.
- 
-

### 1.3 Weierstrass equations

- Prop: What equations give point of inflection?
- **Defn: elliptic curve:** the real definition
- **Thm: every elliptic curve is isomorphic over  $K$  to a curve in weierstrass form, sending  $O_E$  to  $(0 : 1 : 0)$ .** Unfamiliar.
- Prop: Isomorphic ECs only differ in W-form by change of variable. Unfamiliar.
- Cor: When  $\text{char } K \neq 2, 3$ , then isomorphisms of EC is of a certain form. Unfamiliar.
- **Def:  $J$ -invariant**
- Cor: relationship between  $J$  invariant and ECs
- **Thm: Method to derive  $\ominus P$  and  $P \oplus Q$  and  $2P, 3P, 4P$  on the fly when  $P = (0, 0)$ .**

### 1.4 Group Law

- **Thm:  $E(K)$  is an abelian group. 1. identity, 2. inverse, 3. associativity**
- Defn: Linearly equivalent divisors,  $\text{Pic}(E), \text{Pic}^0(E)$
- Defn:  $\psi : E \rightarrow \text{Pic}^0(E)$
- Prop: **Two properties of  $\psi$**  which helps to finish proving group law.
- Silverman 3.1, helps with above theorem:  
If  $C$  is a smooth curve and  $f \in \overline{K}(C)^*$  then
  - $\text{div}(f) = 0 \iff f \in \overline{K}^*$
  - $\text{deg}(\text{div}(f)) = 0$ . i.e. principle divisors always have degree 0.
- Thm: Elliptic curves are group varieties
- **Thm and concepts: Weierstrass  $p$  theorem**
- **Thm: statement of results:  $K = \mathbb{C}, \mathbb{R}$ , local field, number field, finite field.**

### 1.5 Isogenies

- **Def: Isogeny, isogenous,  $\text{hom}(E_1, E_2)$**
- Remark: structure of  $\text{hom}(E_1, E_2)$ .  $\text{deg}(\phi_1\phi_2) = \text{deg}(\phi_1)\text{deg}(\phi_2)$
- **Def: the  $[n]$  map,  $n$ -torsion group,  $E[n]$**
- Remark: if we have  $K = \mathbb{C}$ , what is  $\text{deg}[n]$ ? what is  $E[n]$
- Lemma: If  $\text{char } K \neq 2$ ,  $y^2 = (x - e_1)(x - e_2)(x - e_3)$ , what is  $E[2]$ ?
- **Prop:  $[n]$  is an isogeny**
- Cor:  $\text{hom}(E_1, E_2)$  is a torsion-free  $\mathbb{Z}$ -module
- **Thm:  $\phi : E_1 \rightarrow E_2$  is an isogeny, then  $\phi(P + Q) = \phi(P) + \phi(Q)$ ,  $\forall P, Q \in E$**

- Remark: compare and contrast the above with  $\text{hom}(E_1, E_2)$  being an abelian group.
- **Thm:**  $\deg([n]) = n^2$ . Following helps to prove this
  - Lemma: There exists a morphism  $\xi : \mathbb{P}^1 \rightarrow \mathbb{P}^1$  that makes a diagram commute. Other edges are the  $x_1, x_2$  map that extracts the  $x$ -coordinate. What can you say about degrees?
  - **Remark: above lemma tell us how to compute deg of an isog** Unfamiliar.
  - Lemma:  $\deg([2]) = 4$
  - Defn: quadratic form
  - Lemma: quadratic form  $\iff$  parallelogram law
  - Lemma: rewrite  $x_3, x_4$  in terms of  $w_0, w_1, w_2$ .
  - **Thm: degree is a quadratic form.** Unfamiliar with the proof, too much calculations
  - in 2003,2007 exams, tested the proof that deg map is a quad form
- **Example: isogeny that is not  $[n]$ , showed up in the last section on cyclic isogeny as well**
- 

## 1.6 Invariant differential

- **Defn:**  $\Omega_C$ , the space of differentials, as a vector space spanned by  $df$
- Def: order of vanishing
- Facts:  $\Omega_C$  is a 1-diml vector space and that if  $\text{ord}_p(f) = n \neq 0$  then  $\text{ord}_p(df) = n - 1$
- for any  $f$ ,  $\text{ord}_p(f) = 0$  for all but finitely many  $p$
- **Def:**  $\text{div}(w)$
- Defn: genus
- Lemma: If  $\text{char} K \neq 2$ , and  $E : y^2 = (x - e_1)(x - e_2)(x - e_3)$ ,  $e_i$  distinct. Then  $w = dx/y$  is a differential on  $E$  with no zeros or poles.  $g(E) = 1$  and the v.s. of regular differentials is 1diml spanned by  $w$ .
- **Lemma: motivation and definition of the invariant differential**
- Lemma: given  $\phi, \psi \in \text{Hom}(E_1, E_2)$   $\omega$  an invariant differential on  $E_2$ , then  $(\phi + \psi)^*\omega = \phi^*\omega + \psi^*\omega$  Unfamiliar with proof.
- **Lemma:  $\phi$  is separable iff  $\phi^* : \Omega_{C_2} \rightarrow \Omega_{C_1}$  is nonzero.**
- **Thm: If  $\text{char} K \nmid n$ ,  $E[n] \cong (\mathbb{Z}/n\mathbb{Z})^2$**

## 1.7 Elliptic curves over finite fields

- Lemma: a AM-GM-like (sign flipped) inequality for positive definitive quadratic forms
- **Def: The frobenius endomorphism. What is its degree?**
- Prop:  $\phi$  is separable, but  $1 - \phi$  is not
- **Thm: Hasse's theorem**
- Defn: zeta function

- Defn: Inner product and trace
- Lemma: A formula that links  $\text{tr}(\phi)$  with  $\deg(\phi)$ .
- Defn: Zeta function for curves
- **Lemma: zeta function for elliptic curve can be expressed as a rational function.**
- Remark: prove Riemann hypothesis for elliptic curves, doesn't seem too important so come back later

## 1.8 Formal groups

This is in preparation for EC in local fields

- **The following ideas motivate the group law**
- Defn:  $I$ -adic topology
- Defn: cauchy sequence in the  $I$ -adic topology
- Def: Ring complete with  $I$ -adic topology
- Remark:  $1 - x \in R^*$  if  $x \in I$
- Lemma: Hensel's lemma, formal groups version
- **Remark: Approximating  $E$  with power series.** The whole thought process. That is, get a power series  $w(t)$  that solves  $F(x) = x - f(t, x)$  which solves the weierstrass equation
- **Defn: the set  $\hat{E}(I) = \{(t, w) \in E(K), t, w \in I\}$ . Also can be written as  $\hat{E}(I) = \{(t, w(t)) \in E(K), t \in I\}$  as the solution is unique by Hensel**
- **Thm: the set  $\hat{E}(I)$  is a subgroup of  $E(K)$ .**
- **Defn: formal group**
- Defn: morphism and isomorphism of formal groups
- **Thm: is Char  $R = 0$ , then every formal group  $F$  over  $R$  is isomorphic to  $\hat{\mathbb{G}}_\alpha$  over  $R \otimes \mathbb{Q}$**   
In the proof, define  $\log$  and  $\exp$  as these morphisms or isomorphisms. Log: Show uniqueness and existence. **Proof shaky**
- Defn:  $[n]$  in terms of formal group.  $[n]T = F((n-1)T, T)$ .
- Cor:  $[n]$  gives you  $F \rightarrow F$  an isomorphism of groups so  $F(I)$  has no  $[n]$  torsion.
- 

## 1.9 Elliptic curves over local fields

- **Defn: Minimal weierstrass equation**
- Question why does minimal weierstrass equation exist?
- Lemma: in weierstrass equation,  $(x, y) \neq 0_E$ , either  $x, y \in \mathcal{O}_K$  or  $v(x) = -2s, v(y) = -3s$  for some  $s > 1$ .
- **Defn:  $\hat{E}(\pi^r \mathcal{O}_K)$**
- The filtration  $E_i(K)$  and the filtration  $F(\pi^r \mathcal{O}_K)$  for a formal group

- **Prop:** Let  $F$  be a formal group over  $\mathcal{O}_K$ . Then if  $e = v(p)$  and  $r > \frac{e}{p-1}$

$$\log : F(\pi^r \mathcal{O}_K) \rightarrow \hat{\mathbb{G}}_\alpha(\pi^r \mathcal{O}_K)$$

is an isomorphism. exp also gives you an isomorphism other way around.

- **Prop:** if  $r \geq 1$ , then

$$\frac{F(\pi^r \mathcal{O}_K)}{F(\pi^{r+1} \mathcal{O}_K)} \cong (k, +)$$

- Cor: If  $|k| < \infty$ , then  $F(\pi \mathcal{O}_K)$  contains a subgroup of finite index and is iso to  $\mathcal{O}_K, +$ .
- Prop: given an elliptic curve, then given two minimal weierstrass equations for  $E$ , the reduction modulo  $\pi$  defines isomorphic curves.

- **Defn:** reduction, good reduction, bad reduction

- When  $V(\Delta)$  is what, it has a good reduction? When it has a bad reduction? When it may not be minimal?

- **Defn: Kernel of reduction:**  $E_1(K) = \hat{E}(\pi \mathcal{O}_K) = \{p \in E(k) : \tilde{p} = 0\}$ , where  $\tilde{\phantom{p}}$  just means reduction modulo  $\pi$ . Precisely the points that maps to PoI in the reduced equation.

- **Def:**  $\tilde{E}_{ns}$

- When two singular situations corresponds to  $G_a$  and  $G_m$ ?

- **Defn:**  $E_0(K)$ : points on  $E(K)$  who reduces to a nonsingular point

- Prop:  $E_0(K)$  is a subgroup of  $E(K)$  and reduction mod  $\pi$  is surjective group hom:  $E_0(K) \rightarrow \tilde{E}_{ns}(k)$ .

- **Thm:** If  $[K : \mathbb{Q}_p] < \infty$ , then  $E(K)$  contains a subgroup  $E_r(K)$  of finite index with  $E_r(K) \simeq (\mathcal{O}_K, +)$

- Remark: some definition in local fields: ramified, unramified, ramification index, residue field degree, max unram extension

- Thm: Suppose  $[K : \mathbb{Q}_p] < \infty$ ,  $E/K$  an EC with good reduction,  $p \nmid n$ , if  $P \in E(K)$ , then  $K([n]^{-1}P)/K$  is unramified.

- **Remark:** make a diagram with two SES.

$$0 \rightarrow E_1(K_m) \rightarrow E(K_m) \rightarrow \tilde{E}(k_m) \rightarrow 0$$

and take UR, and take  $[n]$  map, and snake lemma, show it is unramified.

- Defn: Tawagama number

- **Recall definitions:**  $E_r(K), E_0(K), \tilde{E}_{ns}, E_1(K)$

- **Lem:** If  $|k| < \infty$  then  $E_0(K) \subset E(K)$  has finite index

## 1.10 Elliptic curves over number fields

- Setting:  $[K : \mathbb{Q}]$  a number field
- **Defn: prime of good reduction.** Given  $E/K$ , what does it mean when it has good reduction?
- Lemma:  $E/K$  only have finitely many primes of bad reduction
- Defn:  $E(K)_{tor}$
- Lemma:  $E(K)_{tor}$  is finite.
- Lemma:  $E(K)[n] \hookrightarrow \tilde{E}(k_p)[n]$  if  $p$  is a prime of good reduction and  $p \nmid n$ . Idea: torsion wont disappear, so it suffices to look at the torsion in modulo  $p$ .
- **Remark:**  $\#\tilde{E}_D(\mathbb{F}_p) = p + 1$  when  $p$  is 3 mod 4.
- **Thm: Consider  $E_D : y^2 = x^3 - D^2x$  again. Show that rank  $E_D(\mathbb{Q}) \geq 1 \iff D$  is a congruent number.**
- $E(\mathbb{Q})_{tor}$  have almost integer coordinates. That is, if a point  $(x, y)$  is a torsion, then  $4x, 8y \in \mathbb{Z}$ .
- **Thm: Lutz- Nagell.** Proof some stupid calculation hope wont get tested.
- Remark: Mazur only 15 possibilities for  $E(\mathbb{Q})_{tor}$

## 1.11 Kummer Theory

- **Lemma: given  $\Delta \subseteq K^*/((K^*)^n)$ , be a finite group. Then  $L = K(\sqrt[n]{\Delta})$  is galois and there exists**

$$\text{Gal}(L/K) \simeq \text{hom}(\Delta, \mu_n)$$

- Defn: Kummer pairing: well defined, bilinear, nondegen in both arguments
- **Thm: The kummer theory bijection**

There is a bijection between the following:

1. Finite subgroups  $\Delta \subseteq K^*/(K^*)^n$
2. Finite abelian extensions of  $L/K$  of exponent dividing  $n$

$$\Delta \mapsto K(\sqrt[n]{\Delta})$$

$$\frac{(L^*)^n \cap K^*}{(K^*)^n} \hookrightarrow L$$

- **There are only finitely many extensions  $L/K$  that satisfies certain properties:**
  - $K$  a number field,  $\mu_n \subseteq K$
  - $S$  a finite set of primes of  $K$
  - Then, there are only finitely many extensions such that
    - \* finite abelian of exponents dividing  $n$
    - \* unramified at all primes  $p \notin S$
- Defn:  $K(S, n)$
- Lemma:  $K(S, n)$  is finite. **This lemma proves previous theorem, but doubt testable**

## 1.12 Elliptic curves over number fields II

- Lemma:  $E(K)/nE(K) \rightarrow E(L)/nE(L)$  has finite kernel
- Lem: Let  $E(K)$  be an EC over a number field. If  $P \in E(K)$  then  $K([n]^{-1}P)/K$  is Galois. If  $E[n] \subseteq E(K)$  then the Galois group is abelian of exponent dividing  $n$ .
- **Thm: Weak Mordell- Weil theorem**
- **Thm: Mordell- Weil theorem**
- Remark: Existence of the canonical height

## 1.13 Heights

- Defn: Height  $H : \mathbb{P}^n(\mathbb{Q}) \rightarrow \mathbb{Z}$
- Lem: Lipschitz-like condition for heights of  $F : \mathbb{P}^1 \rightarrow \mathbb{P}^1$ .
- Defn: Height  $H : \mathbb{Q} \rightarrow \mathbb{Z}$ . Height:  $H : E(\mathbb{Q}) \rightarrow \mathbb{R}_{\geq 1}$ . Little height:  $h : E(\mathbb{Q}) \rightarrow \mathbb{R}_{\geq 1}$
- Lemma:  $|h(\phi(P)) - \deg(\phi)h(P)|$  is bounded.
- **Defn: canonical height**
- Lemma:  $|h(P) - \hat{h}(P)|$  is bounded for all  $P \in E(\mathbb{Q})$ .
- **Cor:**  $\hat{h}$  satisfies the condition that for any  $B > 0, \dots$
- Prop:  $\hat{\phi}(P) = \deg(\phi)\hat{h}(P)$
- Thm: quadratic form

## 1.14 Dual Isogenies and Weil Pairing

### 1.14.1 Dual Isogenies

- Thm 14.1 The universal-property-like theorem for EC
- Prop 14.2 **The unique existence of the dual isogeny.** As well as some properties
- Defn. Sum of divisors
- Lem 14.3 Dual isogenies distribute with the sum
- Equation relating degree to trace and that  $\phi + \hat{\phi} = tr(\phi)$
- Think of dual isogenies as add to trace, multiply to degree.
- Lem 14.4 A divisor is principle  $\iff$  (some conditions with sum)
- **Definition of Weil Pairing**
- **Prop 14.5 The Weil pairing is nondegenerate and bilinear**

## 1.15 Galois Cohomology

- **Defn: group cohomology:**  $H^0(G, A) = A^G, C^1(G, A), Z^1(G, A), B^1(G, A), H^1(G, A)$
- Theorem: SES into LES (snake lemma in Galois cohomology)
- inflation restriction, really wish to skip
- **Hilbert 90:**  $H^1(\text{Gal}(L/K), L^*) = 0$
- Some stuff are skipped.
- **Defn: Construction of Selmer group**
- **Defn: Tate shafarech group**
- **The SES that relates the Selmer group to the Tate shafavech group**
- Thm:  $S^{(n)}(E/K)$  is finite. big proof, so skipped
- 

## 1.16 Descent by Cyclic Isogeny

- Two key lemmas in computing rank of  $y^2 = x(x^2 + ax + b)$
- **Method: Be able to compute the rank of the elliptic curve over  $\mathbb{Q}$ , with techniques and things to watch out**
- Strong and weak BSD conjecture